

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, DECEMBER 16, 1999
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S063612 Sharon P.,
Plaintiff and Appellant,
v.
Arman, Ltd. et al.,
Defendants and Respondents.

The contrary judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed and the matter is remanded to that court with directions to enter judgment in favor of defendants.

Baxter, J.

We Concur:
George, C.J.
Kennard, J.
Chin, J.
Brown, J.

Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by Werdegarr, J.

Dissenting Opinion by Mosk, J.

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

A082242/S082937 Stephen M. Williams v. Board of Permit Appeals et al. –
January 14, 2000.

B129392/S083053 People v. Douglas J. Williamson – January 20, 2000.

D031216/S082990 People v. Roderick Peter Brady – January 14, 2000.

D031727/S082972 People v. Paul Gilbert Simonton – January 14, 2000.

F024213/S082910 People v. Francisco Castro – January 14, 2000.

S025519 People, Respondent

v.

Colin Raker Dickey, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including January 12, 2000.

S049389 People, Respondent

v.

Thomas Howard Lenart, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including February 14, 2000, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S071835 In re Larry H. Roberts

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's traverse to the return to the order to show cause is extended to and including February 17, 2000.

S012852 People, Respondent

v.

Robert Edward Maury, Appellant

Appellant's motion entitled, "Request That Defendant's Signature Be Attached To Any Motions Or Other Paper Work Filed In This Case On The Defendant's Behalf," received July 9, 1999, is denied. Appellant's motion entitled, "Request That The Defendant In This Case Be Heard," received July 16, 1999, is not properly before this court and will not be considered. It is hereby returned to appellant. (*People v. Clark* (1992) 3 Cal.4th 41, 173.) Appellant's motion entitled, "Request That The Defendant In The Above Entitled Case Be Afforded Reasonable Access To the Justice System," received August 31, 1999, is denied. Appellant's motion entitled, "Request That Defendant Be Appointed New Counsel Or Be Given A Date For Execution," received September 22, 1999, is denied.

2nd Dist. Jayaweera

B127422

v.

City of La Verne

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Three to Division Two.

2nd Dist.

B125702

Korbatov

v.

Allstate Insurance Company

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Four to Division Seven.

2nd Dist.

B133380

Tohshin International Corp.

v

Koll Construction Co. et al.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Two to Division Three.

S066714

In re **William L. Bryan, Jr.**, on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above-entitled matter is lifted, and **William L. Bryan, Jr., State Bar No. 117084**, shall be suspended from the practice of law for two years, execution of suspension shall be stayed, and that he shall be placed on probation for two years, subject to the conditions of probation, including 60 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed October 8, 1999, as modified on November 4, 1999. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.